

- Firbank, L., Heard, M., Woiwod, I., Hawes, C. *et al.* (2003). An introduction to the Farm-Scale Evaluations of genetically modified herbicide-tolerant crops. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 40, 2-16.
- Hayes, K.R. and Sliwa, C. (2003). Identifying potential marine pests – a deductive approach applied to Australia. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 46, 91-8.
- Kowarik, I. (1995). Time lags in biological invasions with regard to the success and failure of alien species. In 'Plant invasions – general aspects and special problems', eds P. Pysek, K. Prach, M. Rejmanek and M. Wade, pp. 15-38. (SPB Academic Publishing, Amsterdam).
- Lonsdale, W.M. (2002). GMOs: risk, environment and perception. Biotechnology of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and its environmental impact. Proceedings of the 4th Pacific Rim Conference, ed. R. Akhurst, pp. 239-43. (CSIRO Entomology, Canberra).
- Lonsdale, W.M., Baker, G., Godfree, R., Hirsch, M., Williams, K. and Yeates, D. (2003). Findings from the UK Farm Scale Evaluation of Genetically Modified Herbicide Tolerant crops – an appraisal of their implications for Australia. Report to Minister for Science. (CSIRO Entomology, Canberra).
- NRC (National Research Council) (1989). Conclusions and recommendations: plants. In 'Field testing genetically modified organisms: framework for decisions', pp. 70-6. (National Academy Press, Washington, DC).
- Parker, I.M. and Kareiva, P. (1996). Assessing the risks of invasion for genetically engineered plants: acceptable evidence and reasonable doubt. *Biological Conservation* 78, 193-203.
- Perry, J., Rothery, P., Clark S.J., Heard, M. and Hawes, C. (2003). Design, analysis and statistical power of the Farm-Scale Evaluations of genetically modified herbicide-tolerant crops. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 40, 17-31.
- RCEP (Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution). (1991). 'GENHAZ: A system for the critical appraisal of proposals to release genetically modified organisms into the environment.' (HMSO, London, UK).
- Smith, C.S., Lonsdale, W.M. and Fortune, J. (1999). When to ignore advice: invasion predictions and decision theory. *Biological Invasions* 1, 89-96.
- Stirling, A. (2001). The precautionary principle in science and technology. In 'Reinterpreting the precautionary principle', eds T. O'Riordan, J. Cameron, and A. Jordan, pp. 61-94. (Cameron May, London).
- Strauss, H.S. (1991). Lessons from chemical risk assessment. In 'Risk assessment in genetic engineering', eds M.A. Levin and H.S. Strauss. (McGraw-Hill, New York).
- Williamson, M. (1996). 'Biological invasions'. (Chapman and Hall, London).

Gene technology regulation in Australia: a framework for identifying, assessing and managing risks

Sue Meek

Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing, Office of the Gene Technology Regulator,
PO Box 100, Woden, Australian Capital Territory (MDP 54), Australia

Summary This presentation on Australia's regulatory framework for gene technology, in the context of the session topic 'Biotechnology: the way forward?' introduces delegates to governmental and industry responsibilities in relation to the release of genetically modified crops into the Australian environment.

The presentation outlines a number of features of the gene technology regulatory scheme with particular emphasis on how the Office of the Gene Technology Regulator (OGTR) undertakes the scientific evaluation of risks that genetically modified organisms (GMOs) may pose to human health and safety and the environment. It will also explain why the evaluation and management of certain issues including economic impacts (e.g. marketability and agricultural trade) and

alternative technologies are excluded from consideration. Risk management strategies to minimise the likelihood of identified hazards occurring, including research initiatives required, or sponsored by, the OGTR to inform risk management measures will also be discussed.

The governance framework established by the *Gene Technology Act* 2000 and the respective roles of the Gene Technology Regulator, expert advisory groups, State and Territory Governments, Australian Government agencies and authorities, and the involvement of the public in the evaluation of licence applications are explained.

Keywords Gene technology, regulation, GMO, risk assessment, risk management.