# **BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF FIREWEED**

R. McFadyen and D. Sparks<sup>A</sup>

Co-operative Research Centre for Tropical Pest Management, Alan Fletcher Research Station, PO Box 36, Sherwood, Queensland 4075, Australia

A Present address: 'Dundsandle', Bourke, New South Wales 2840, Australia

Summary Fireweed (Senecio madagascariensis Poiret) is a toxic weed of coastal pastures in New South Wales and southern Queensland. Existing control strategies are largely uneconomical and biological control has been proposed as the only long term solution. Surveys in Madagascar found 14 potential biocontrol agents, of which the two most promising were the moths Phycitodes sp. and Lobesia sp. These moths were established in quarantine in Australia where their host ranges were assessed. Phycitodes oviposited and developed on several native Senecio species, Lobesia sp. oviposited and developed on several species from the tribes Senecioneae and Calenduleae. S. madagascariensis and the native S. lautus seem equally suitable as hosts for Lobesia. As this was an unacceptably wide host-range for both moths, the colonies were destroyed. A short survey was made of the insects on S. madagascariensis in South Africa, but no insects were imported or tested.

DNA studies confirmed that *S. madagascariensis* from Australia is distinct from *S. lautus* and related Australian species, but samples from Madagascar are still needed to confirm the origin of *S. madagascariensis*. Fireweed is closely related to the native *S. lautus* so it may be difficult to find biocontrol agents that will not attack the native species. The precise origin of *S. madagascariensis* should be determined then a detailed search made there. If agents specific to *S. madagascariensis* cannot be found, a test case should be initiated for the release of an agent which also attack *S. lautus*.

## INTRODUCTION

Fireweed (Senecio madagascariensis Poiret) is a major pest of coastal pastures in New South Wales and southern Queensland. It reduces pasture growth and is toxic to livestock. Integrated management strategies including herbicide use, mechanical methods and careful pasture management may be effective but are not economical in many situations. Biological control has appeared to be the only long term solution. The Lands Department entomologist based in Madagascar, Ms. J Marohasy, undertook surveys of fireweed and its insects in Madagascar in 1987 and 1988, and identified two promising biocontrol agents. In 1989 the MRC approved a funding application to import, test and release suitable insects, and the project started in July 1990.

#### IDENTIFICATION OF FIREWEED

The native *Senecio lautus* Forster complex consists of a number of subspecies, some of which appear very similar to fireweed, either as living plants in the field or as dried specimens. Fireweed was not distinguished from this complex until 1980, when P.W. Michael sent fireweed specimens from Australia to O.M. Hilliard in South Africa, who identified them as *S. madagascariensis* (Michael 1981). The biocontrol project was initiated on the basis of this taxonomic determination.

Observations of fireweed in Madagascar, South Africa and Australia, together with reviews of the published literature, led to further questions about the true identity of fireweed, whether it was indeed an introduced species and, if so, whether it originated from Madagascar or from Natal in South Africa (Marohasy 1993). Further studies were undertaken to settle this issue, of prime importance for any biological control program. Published records suggested that the S. lautus complex had 40 chromosomes (n=20) while South African Senecio species had 20 chromosomes (n=10). Chromosome counts for four populations of fireweed and five populations of S. lautus from Australia clearly showed that fireweed from Australia had a chromosome count of 20 (n=10), as did fireweed from Madagascar, while all S. lautus material gave a count of 40 (n=20) (Radford et al. 1995).

At the same time, L. Scott studied the DNA of the two species, using the ITS1 sequence, and also concluded that "fireweed is not part of the native (S. lautus) complex" (Scott 1994). Subsequently, he sequenced the same ITS1 DNA from specimens of S. madagascariensis and S. inequidens DC. collected from Natal in South Africa, as well as from specimens of S. lautus ssp. maritimus Ali, lanceolatus Ali and dissectifolius Ali from other areas of Queensland and New South Wales. This DNA sequence is reported to show clear differences at the specific level in Senecio from North America (Bain and Whitton 1994, Bain and Jansen 1995), but no differences were found between the three *lautus* subspecies from Australia. Nor were any differences found between S. madagascariensis from Australia, and S. madagascariensis and S. inequidens from Natal, South Africa, though these three were clearly different from the Australian S. lautus subspecies (L. Scott personal communication 1995).

Hybridization may be occurring between the Australian *S. lautus* and the introduced fireweed. Plants with

DNA showing characteristics of both groups have been found in south-east Queensland where both species occur together (Scott 1994), and one was recently found in Goondiwindi (Scott personal communication 1995), in an area where fireweed is not known to occur but seed may have been introduced in fodder from other areas. If hybridization is occurring, and the hybrids are both fertile and competitive, this has implications regarding the environmental impact of fireweed on the native species.

Unfortunately, because no fresh plant material from Madagascar was available for comparison, it is still not clear whether Australian fireweed originated from Madagascar or from South Africa. Of the two, Natal in South Africa is much more likely to be the region of origin, for two reasons. First, the first plants in Australia were found in Sydney in 1918 (Radford et al. 1995), and there was regular ship traffic from Durban in Natal to Sydney throughout the 1800s and early 1900s, while there has never been much traffic between Madagascar and Australia. Secondly, specimens of S. madagascariensis from Natal resemble fireweed from Australia much more closely than do specimens from Madagascar, both in the dried specimens (op. cit.) and in the field (Marohasy 1993). Even if Australian fireweed arrived from South Africa, it is possible that South African fireweed originated in Madagascar during the long history of trade between the two regions. DNA studies of Madagascan material are needed to settle this question.

## BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF FIREWEED

**Overseas surveys** As the plant was presumed to originate in Madagascar, surveys of *S. madagascariensis* and the insects attacking it were made in January 1987 and June 1988, both surveys in the Fort Dauphin area, in the extreme south of Madagascar. *S. madagascariensis* was present in disturbed land along roads, tracks and clearings in forest, and in and just behind sand dunes along the coast. Several insect species were collected and identified (Marohasy 1989).

In August 1991 a survey of the occurrence of fireweed and related species and the insects attacking it was undertaken in Natal, on the north coast of South Africa. *Senecio* species were found to be common in the area, but the plant taxonomy was difficult and correct identification of specimens not easy. However, specimens collected were apparently the same species as *S. madagascariensis* from Australia, and several insects were found attacking these plants (Marohasy 1991).

**Insects selected** Of the 14 species of insects found in Madagascar, many were known to be polyphagous and therefore unsuitable as biocontrol agents. Nine species were not recorded from other hosts, of which the

flower-feeding pyralid *Phycitodes* sp. and the stem- and root-boring tortricid *Lobesia* sp. were identified as damaging to the plant, and probably host-specific. Permits to import these two species into quarantine for detailed testing were received in 1989.

When problems were experienced with the insects from Madagascar, the insects found in South Africa were reviewed. From the 18 species not known to have other hosts (Marohasy 1991), two were selected as being damaging and probably host-specific, the flower-feeding pyralid *Homeosoma stenotea* and the stem-boring agromyzid *Melanagromyza* sp. Permits to import these into quarantine for testing were received in 1992, but problems were experienced in finding them again in the field and no shipments were received from South Africa.

# THE TWO MADAGASCAN INSECTS *PHYCITODES* SP. AND *LOBESIA* SP.

**Phycitodes** sp. Adults are 10–12 mm long, with narrow grey-brown wings held rolled over the back. They are nocturnal, with most activities including mating and oviposition believed to occur during the night. Fed on honey and water solution, adults lived for 10–18 days. The eggs are cylindrical with pointed ends, translucent white in colour, and are laid singly, carefully inserted between the bracts and the florets on the flowers so that the eggs are concealed.

Larvae feed on the developing achenes in the flowerhead. When the original flowerhead is consumed, larvae move to adjacent flowers, often tying these together with a few strands of silk, or down to a leaf axil where they bore into the stem, killing the stem above their feeding site. Feeding by several larvae may completely destroy plants. Full grown larvae leave the plant and spin a silk cocoon, in which pupation takes place, in leaf litter, on dry leaves or attached to cage walls etc. The whole life cycle from egg to adult takes about 21 days at temperatures from 20 to 30°C.

Lobesia sp. Adults are 4–8 mm long, resting with wings folded across the body. They fly little during the day, and mating and dispersal is believed to occur at night. However, oviposition only occurs during the day, with a peak immediately after dawn (Sparks unpublished data). Adults fed on honey and water solution lived for 8–14 days. The eggs are flattened, roughly circular, translucent almost transparent white, and are laid singly on the leaves or occasionally on the petioles or stem tips. The larvae hatch through the top surface of the egg and wander over the leaf to the axil, where they bore into the stems, and feed down inside the stems, at times as far as the crown or even roots. Frass is ejected through holes in

the stems. Pupation occurs in the stem. Feeding destroys the stems above the feeding site. The life cycle from egg to adult takes about 28 days at temperatures from 20 to 30°C.

### HOST SPECIFICITY TESTING

A list of plants to be tested was drawn up in consultation with botanists in Queensland and other states, and approved by AQIS and ANCA. The list included all native Senecio species and subspecies of the closely related S. lautus complex found within coastal New South Wales and south-east Queensland. Some ornamental and introduced Senecio species were also included. Representatives of the other five genera of the Senecioneae native to Australia were included, together with representatives of the other tribes of the Asteraceae. Four species from other families were tested with Lobesia sp.: grape, coffee, euphorbia and larch.

The main host-finding stage is the ovipositing female moth. The test method was to release moths into cages containing fireweed and test plants and leave them for host selection and oviposition to occur. After 7–14 days, the plants were searched for eggs and larvae and if any were found, the plants were isolated. This allowed accurate counts of the number of larvae completing development and prevented the confounding of oviposition choice with larval movement. Emerging adults were counted.

**Phycitodes sp.** There is little information on the biology of other species in the genus: larvae of Phycitodes binaevella (Hubner) have been recorded attacking the flower heads of Cirsium vulgare (Asteraceae) in Holland (Klinkhammer et al. 1988), and larvae of an undetermined species of *Phycitodes* were recorded in flower heads of *Ptilostemon gnaphaloides* (Asteraceae) in Crete (Neuenschwander 1984). Phycitodes sp. is only known from S. madagascarienis. Our tests showed that normal development occurred in three species of Senecio, S. madagascariensis, S. lautus ssp. dissectifolius, and S. quadridentatus Labill., but only six species in the Senecionae were tested, and oviposition and development might have occurred on other species as well. In particular, S. quadridentatus is in a different subgroup to S. madagascariensis and S.lautus ssp. dissectifolius, having flowers without ray florets, which implies an ability to oviposit and develop in at least some species in both major subgroups of the Australian native Senecio species. No tests were undertaken in larger cages, however, and in the field females might not lay on these other species. Nevertheless, the attack on native Senecio species was considered unacceptable, and testing was not continued.

Lobesia sp. The genus Lobesia contains three pest species, L. aeolopa Meyr on coffee (Evans 1970), L. botrana on grape (Marcelin 1985) and L. reliquana on larch (Golutvina 1973). Another species, L. euphorbiana, was released in North America as a biological control agent after testing showed it was specific to two Euphorbia species (Schroeder 1981). Lobesia sp. is an undescribed species apparently specific to S. madagascariensis and an unidentified Senecio species found on the dunes in Madagascar (Marohasy 1989).

Host specificity testing of *Lobesia* was conducted in two stages. Initially multiple choice oviposition and survival tests were conducted in the standard cages,  $80 \times 50 \times 100$  cm., and subsequently plants that were attacked in these were re-tested in a larger cage,  $3 \times 3 \times 2.5$  m. A total of 58 plant species were tested, all in the Asteraceae.

In the small cage tests, there was damage to 15 species from four tribes (Senecioneae, Calenduleae, Heliantheae, Anthemidieae). Damage to most was substantial, and the adult moths produced exceeded the number of moths initially introduced into the cages. In the large cages, there was significant damage and complete development on five species from two tribes (Senecioneae and Calenduleae). All other species attacked in the small cage trials were not damaged in the larger cage trials.

Of the species attacked in the large cage trials, Calendula sp. was damaged moderately in one replicate, from which 26 adults emerged. Rate of development, size and number of adults produced were normal and a second generation was reared through on this plant. Dahlia was damaged in one replicate and three adults emerged. The other four species attacked were all in the tribe Senecioneae. Substantial damage was caused with several adults emerging from each plant of Crassocephalum crepidioides and Erechthites sp. Less substantial damage was caused to Senecio lautus dissectifolius, with an average of three adults emerged per plant. Severe damage was caused to S. l. lanceolatus, the plants being killed in all replicates with an average of 19 Lobesia adults emerging per plant. Between 27 and 43 adults emerged per plant of S. madagascariensis in the same trials. As this is an unacceptably wide host range, testing was terminated and the colony destroyed.

### RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK

Before any further biocontrol investigations, the country of origin of Australian fireweed should be confirmed by DNA or other appropriate studies. Detailed surveys for potential biocontrol agents, plus studies of the host range and seasonal biology of potential agents, should then be carried out in Madagascar and South Africa, giving priority to the country identified as the origin of fireweed. Agents identified as likely to be specific to *S. madagascariensis* should be imported and host-tested against the native *S. lautus* complex. Fireweed-specific agents should then be field-released. If no fireweed-specific agents are found, a test case could be initiated for release of an agent known to attack a native plant species or group of species. This would involve an economic study of the impact of fireweed on the grazing economy of coastal NSW and southern Queensland, and an environmental impact study of the effects of fireweed and of potential agents on the native *Senecio lautus* complex.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The project was funded by the Meat Research Corporation from July 1990 to 1994. Financial assistance was also provided by Australian Mutual Provident (AMP) and by Lady Macarthur-Onslow. Their assistance is gratefully acknowledged. *Lobesia* sp. was reared and tested by Tonya Girle in 1992. Michelle Rogers and Bob Gallagher assisted in the collection, identification and maintenance of the test plants. The project was supervised from December 1992 to November 1994 by Dr. Graham White. Dr. Dane Panetta reviewed earlier drafts and made many useful suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- Bain, J.F. and Whitton, J. (1994). Taxonomic analysis of Senecio pauciflorus and S. indecorus (Asteraceae). Nord. J. Bot. 14, 193-9.
- Bain, J.F. and Jansen, R.K. (1995). A phylogenetic analysis of the aureoid senecio (Asteraceae) complex based on its ITS sequence data. *Plant Syst. Evol.* 195, 209-19.
- Evans, D.E. (1970). The parasites of three Kenya coffee tortricids [Archips occidentalis (Wlsm), Tortrix didota Meyr and Lobesia aeolopa Meyr. (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae)]. J. Entomol. Soc. South Africa 33, 349-50.
- Golutvina, L.S. (1973). New and little-known pests of the family Tortricidae, damaging the reproductive organs of Larix sibirica. Nauchnye Trudy, Leningradskaya Lesotekhnicheskaya Akademiya 1973, 11-4.
- Klinkhammer, P.G.L., De Jong, T.J. and Meijden van der, E. (1988). Production, dispersal and predation of seeds in the biennial *Cirsium vulgare. Journal of Ecology* 76, 403-14.
- Marcelin, H. (1985). Control of grape tortricids. *Phtoma* 370, 29-32.
- Marohasy, J.J. (1989). A survey of fireweed (*Senecio madagascariensis* Poir.) and its natural enemies in Madagascar with a view to biological control in

- Australia. Plant Protection Quarterly 4, 139-40.
- Marohasy, J.J. (1991). A survey of the insect fauna of the Senecio madagascariensis complex (Compositae) in South Africa. Unpublished report, Queensland Lands Department, Brisbane.
- Marohasy, J.J. (1993). Are we justified in considering fireweed (*Senecio madagascariensis*) an exotic? Proceedings 10th Australian Weeds Conference and 14th Asian Pacific Weed Science Society Conference, Brisbane, September 1993, Volume II, pp. 122-127. (Weed Society of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia).
- Michael, P.W. (1981). Alien Plants. *In* 'Australian Vegetation,' ed. R.H. Groves, p. 44. (Cambridge University Press: Cambridge).
- Neuenschwander, P. (1984). Observations on the biology of two species of fruit flies and their competition with a moth larvae. *Israel J. Ent.* 18, 95-7.
- Radford, I., Liu, Q. and Michael, P.W. (1995). Chromosome counts for the Australian weed known as Senecio madagascariensis (Asteraceae). Aust. Syst. Bot. 8, 1029-33.
- Schroeder, D. (1981). Study, collection and screening of Lobesia (Polychrosis) euphorbiana for biological control of leafy spurge. Trinidad and Tobago, Commonwealth Institute of Biological Control: report of work carried out April 1980–March 1981.
- Scott, L. (1994). Fireweed (Senecio madagascariensis Poir.) is not part of the Senecio lautus complex: phylogenetic evidence using ITS1 DNA sequences. Unpublished report for B. Appl. Sc. (Honours), University of Queensland, Brisbane.